RC: Please make sure you have addressed all points mentioned in my recent emails so I don’t need to resend any of those.

You should refer to the inconsistencies doc posted by RC for details of each task when you work on them. This is only a brief summary for purposes of schedule. It is possible that some of the tasks have been omitted from that doc in the process of preparing this schedule.

Some of the orderings of tasks below are not consistent with a,b,c,d ordering in RC’s doc, as highlighted below.

If you are not sure that all comments will be addressed in your next revision, please post this markup to wiki as well.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Priority** | **Task** | **Sub tasks** | **Goal** | **Date** |
| 1A | Detailed draft based on an analysis of conformational energies | Verify if the conformational energies from Prime/MM-GBSA follow the same trend as 2-12 ns energies. | To identify if we could rank order the stability of the complex/loop reasonably | 18th  Aug |
| 1B | Analysis of binding energies  Verify if the binding energies (from all energy functions –Prime MM/PBSA, Amber MM-PBSA and Amber MM-GBSA) for open/closed loops among complexes are along expectations | Binding affinity of NAD+ is greater for open loop  Binding affinity of INT is greater for closed loop  Binding affinity of co-product (AADPr) is greater for closed loop.  These needs to be validated.  Document a report to Dr.Raj | To identify if binding energy estimates are able to recapitulate experimental findings. | 18th  Aug  1A&1B  1 day |
| 2A | Estimation of energy error from side chain prediction. | 1.Based on “derived Apo energies” as estimated by Dr.Raj compare   1. SIRT3/INT/NAM Open   Vs  SIRT3/NAD+/AC-CS2 Open loop   1. SIRT3/INT/NAM Closed   Vs  SIRT3/NAD+/AC-CS2 Closed loop  2.The second approach would be validation studies across  native xtal structures 4FVT and 4BVG as “detailed by Dr.Raj. Includes analysis of exposed vs buried, polar vs nonpolar RMSD vs delta energy  3. Estimate the change(Δ) in energies pre and post side chain modeling on all modelled (4FVT)/side chain repacked (4BVG loop) complex. Identify problematic residues | To identify the amount of energy error and the level of error propagated in each model  *NB\*Each side chain prediction takes about 4 hrs.*  *\*We need to develop scripts or adapt existing scripts to compute per-residue RMSD* | 19th 22nd  Aug  and  23rd  Aug  3 days  *It may extend by a day* |
| 2B | Compute global co-factor loop and local (per-residue) loop residues RMSD | Ternary vs INT/NAM open loop conformation using the first frame (Structurally reason out why energies are drastically different here, in spite of starting with a similar conformation?) | To identify the influence of substrate/product binding (induced fit effects?) | 24th  Aug |
| 2C | Effect of Prime minimization post side chain prediction? This is to check the effect of global minimization and to see if there are issues with global minimization. | This is to check the effect of Prime minimization and to see if there are issues with global minimization.  It will be carried out on all structured prepared by VR. |  | 25tn  Aug |
| 3 | Apply by-component and by-residue MM interaction energy scoring to identify why this inconsistency arises | Amber per-residue interaction/binding energies can be obtained but not per residue MM based potential energies.  *NB\* we need to re-run Amber MM/GBSA on MD trajectories to extract per-residue binding energies. Each run takes about 3-4 hrs. We also need to write analysis script to extract them from the output file.* | To identify key residues that contributes to substrate/product binding.  Can be used to correlated MD findings with experimental mutagenesis data | 26th  and  29th  Aug |
| 4 | Loop generated from MD | Identify loop conformations generated by MD sampling and try to rank them ( Either clustering of loop or RMSD) | Use these loop co- formations and try to see if Prime could rank-order it. | 30th Aug  &  31st Aug |
| 5 | Prime based loop prediction | Carry out loop refinement using Prime ( starting with 4FVT and 4BVG loops) and check if Prime based loop prediction performs better that Side chain prediction using a grafted loop | To identify if there could be an  alternate loop conformation different for the 4FVT(Open) and 4BVG(Closed) loop conformation | 1st and  2nd  Sep |
| 6 | Need for new simulation | Based on analysis ascertain the need for new MD simulation | To be decided by Dr.Raj |  |

***NB\* The extra time available during side chain modeling runs will be used to complete the Perl script, which is almost half way through. Hence, I have not listed it as a separate task.***